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## In the

United States Court of Appeals

## For the Ninth Circuit

NAGATAKA MURAYAMA and OFUJI MURAYAMA,

> Appellants,
vs.
TIME INC., a corporation, Appellee.

## PETITION FCR REHEARING

TO THE HONORABLE RICHARD H. CHAMBERS, Circuit Judge, M. OLIVER KOELSCH, Circuit Judge and BEN. C. DUNIWAY, Circuit Judge.

Appellants, NAGATAKA MURAYAMA and OFUUI MURAYAMA, hereby petition for a rehearing to reconsider the jucigment entered ir this action on October 11, 1966, on the following grounds.

The question involved herein is one of considerable publ importance and it should be considered by the court en banc. The question relates to what constitutes excusable neglect on the part of an attorney in timely docketing an appeal under rule 73 (g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.


Undersigned counsel certifies that this petition is not interposed for delay and that in his judgment it is well founded Dated: November 8, 1966.

BELLI, ASHE, GERRY \&e ELLISON


## AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ROBERT L. LIEFF, having first been duly sworn, deposes and says:

On November 8 , he served the within Petition for Rehearing upon appellees by mailing true copies thereof to their attorneys, JOHN B. BATES, NOBLE K. GREGORY, JAMES F. KIRKHAM and PILLSBURY, MADISON \& SUTRO, Standard Oil Building, San Francisco, California.


Subscribed and sworn $=0$ before me this 8th day of November, 1966.

